# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50564 TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION RN103015053

Docket No. 2015-0761-MWD-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

Media:

**MWD** 

**Small Business:** 

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Boca Chica Sec 3 Plt, located approximately 12,000 feet southwest of the point where State Highway 35 crosses Five Mile Branch, Jackson County

Type of Operation:

Wastewater treatment facility

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: The complainant has expressed an interest in this

matter but does not wish to speak at Agenda. **Texas Register Publication Date:** March 18, 2016

Comments Received: No

**Penalty Information** 

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$8,562

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,712 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$200 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$6,650

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$190 each

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major or Minor Source: Minor Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50564 TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION RN103015053 Docket No. 2015-0761-MWD-E

## **Investigation Information**

Complaint Date(s): April 25, 2015

Complaint Information: Alleged a pumping unit was not properly repaired and raw

sewage being discharged onto the ground from a broken sewer line.

Date(s) of Investigation: February 27, 2015

Date(s) of NOE(s): April 28, 2015

# Violation Information

- 1. Failed to maintain authorization for the discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to any water in the state. Specifically, the Respondent did not renew Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0012880001, which expired January 1, 2015, and continued to discharge wastewater from the Facility without authorization [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 305.42(a), 305.65 and 305.125(2), and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1)].
- 2. Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to any water in the state. Specifically, two discharges of wastewater occurred from the Facility's wastewater collection system: 1) approximately 30 gallons of wastewater discharged into the backyard of a residence located at 112 Catfish Drive when a broken pumping unit switch caused the pumping unit to fail; and 2) an unknown amount of wastewater discharged from a ruptured sewer line into a drainage ditch on Porpoise Drive [Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1)].

# Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

# **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

The Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. On February 28, 2015, repaired a ruptured sewer line located on Porpoise Drive;
- b. By February 28, 2015, ceased the discharge and remediated the affected area resulting from an unauthorized discharge on Porpoise Drive;
- c. On March 5, 2015, replaced the float switch to the sewer pump located at 112 Catfish Drive;
- d. On March 10, 2015, adjusted the float switch to the sewer pump located at 112 Catfish Drive; and
- e. By March 13, 2015, ceased the discharge and remediated the affected area resulting from an unauthorized discharge at 112 Catfish Drive.

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50564 TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION RN103015053

Docket No. 2015-0761-MWD-E

# **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, and until such time that authorization to operate is obtained, or until 300 days, whichever is earlier, comply with the permitted limitations and conditions of expired TPDES Permit No. WQ0012880001;
- b. Within 30 days, submit a permit application;
- c. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the permit application within 30 days after the date of such requests or by any other deadline specified in writing; and
- d. Within 300 days, submit written certification that either authorization to operate has been obtained or that operation has ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained.

# Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

# Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Jason Fraley, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 2, MC 169, (512) 239-2552; Melissa Cordell, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-2483

**TCEQ SEP Coordinator**: N/A

**Respondent:** Stanley J. Kazwell, Jr., President, TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY

OWNERS ASSOCIATION, 14 County Road 480, Palacios, Texas 77465

**Respondent's Attorney:** N/A



#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision March 26, 2014 Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) Assigned 4-May-2015 PCW 20-May-2015 Screening 20-May-2015 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN103015053 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 14-Corpus Christi **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 50564 No. of Violations 2 Docket No. 2015-0761-MWD-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Water Quality Government/Non-Profit Yes Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Jason Fraley EC's Team Enforcement Team 3 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$8,750 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$437 5.0% Enhancement Enhancement for one month of self-reported effluent violations. Notes Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 -\$625 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 \$0 Total EB Amounts \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$911 Estimated Cost of Compliance \$11,600 \$8,562 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment \$0

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

20.0%

\$8,562

\$8,562

-\$1,712

\$6,850

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. *(Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)* 

PAYABLE PENALTY

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

Notes

**Docket No.** 2015-0761-MWD-E

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

PCW

Respondent TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION

Case ID No. 50564

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103015053

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Jason Fraley

**Compliance History Worksheet** 

NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
Audis,	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)		0%
		ease Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	Ño	0%
	Adjustment Pe	rcentage (Sub	<b>total 2)</b> 5
Repeat Violator			
<u> </u>	Adjustment Pe	rcentage (Sub	total 3) <u>0</u>
Compliance His	tory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactor	y Performer Adjustment Pe	rcentage (Sub	total 7) 0
Compliance His	tory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one month of self-reported effluent violations.		

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix   Harm   Horn   Moderate   Minor   Percent   0.0%	PCW	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Screening Date
Media [Statute]   Water Quality   Enf. Coordinator   Jason Fraley   Violation Number   Statute   Violation Number   Statute   Violation		50564 PCW Re	Case ID No.
Violation Number   1.   30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 305.42(a), 305.65 and 305.125(2), and Tex. Water Code § 26.221(a)(1)			
Falled to meintain authorization for the discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to any water in the state, as documented during an investigation conducted on February 27, 2015, Specifically, the Respondent during an investigation conducted on February 27, 2015, Specifically, the Respondent did not renew Yeas Poliubant Discharge Elimination System Permix No. W00012880001, which expired January 1, 2015, and continued to discharge wastewater from the Facility without authorization.    Base Penalty			
Violation Description   February 27, 2015, Specifically, the Respondent did not renew Texas Pollutaria.   Property 2015, Specifically, the Respondent did not renew Texas Pollutaria.   Discharge Elimination System Permit No. W00012880001, which expired January 1, 2015, and continued to discharge wastewater from the Facility without:    Sase Penalty   \$25,000		30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 305.42(a), 305.65 and 305.125(2), and Tex. Water Code	
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix   Harm   Horn   Moderate   Minor		any water in the state, as documented during an investigation conducted on February 27, 2015. Specifically, the Respondent did not renew Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. WQ0012880001, which expired January 1, 2015, and continued to discharge wastewater from the Facility without	Violation Description
Name	\$25,000	Base Penalty	
Release Major Moderate Minor Potentia Potentia Potentia Percent 0.0%  >>Programmatic Matrix Faisfication Major Moderate Minor Faisfication Major Moderate Minor  Release Major Moderate Minor Faisfication Major Moderate Minor  Release Major Moderate Minor  Percent 5.0%  Adjustment 523,750  \$1,250  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 5 139 Number of violation days  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 5 139 Number of violation days  Violation Base Penalty \$6,250  Five monthly events are recommended, calculated from the date the permit expired, January 1, 2015, to the screening date, May 20, 2015.  Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.09% Before NOC/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRI/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Estimated EB Amount \$908 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,553		ty and Human Health Matrix	>> Environmental, Prope
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Najor Moderate Minor Falsification Najor Moderate Minor Falsification Najor Moderate Minor Falsification Najor Moderate Minor Falsification Feering Screen			Release
Matrix Notes		<u> </u>	
Matrix Notes			
Matrix Notes    Matrix Notes   100% of the rule requirement was not met.			
Notes   100% of the rule requirement was not met.   \$23,750      \$1,250		Percent 5.0%	
Violation Events  Number of Violation Events    Sample Section   Statutory Limit Test		100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Violation Events  Number of Violation Events    Sample Section   Statutory Limit Test		Adjustment ¢23.750	<u> </u>
Number of Violation Events    Number of Violation Events   5	\$1.250	729/750	
Number of Violation Events 5 139 Number of violation days    daily	71,200		Violation Events
daily			
weekly monthly y quarterly semiannual annual single event  Five monthly events are recommended, calculated from the date the permit expired, January 1, 2015, to the screening date, May 20, 2015.  Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$10		Violation Events Number of Violation days	Number of
Good Faith Efforts to Comply  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A  Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount  \$6,250  \$6,250  \$6,250  \$6,250			
Semiannual annual single event  Five monthly events are recommended, calculated from the date the permit expired, January 1, 2015, to the screening date, May 20, 2015.  Good Faith Efforts to Comply  O.0%  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary  Ordinary  N/A  X  (mark with x)  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount  \$908  Violation Final Penalty Total  \$6,563	\$6.250	monthly x	
Five monthly events are recommended, calculated from the date the permit expired, January 1, 2015, to the screening date, May 20, 2015.  Good Faith Efforts to Comply  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary  Ordinary  N/A  X  (mark with x)  Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount  \$908  Violation Final Penalty Total  \$6,563	Ψ 0,1230	semiannual	with an x
Good Faith Efforts to Comply  O.0%  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  \$6,250			
Good Faith Efforts to Comply  O.0%  Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  \$6,250			
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$908 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,563			rive illoitui
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$908 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,563	\$0	nily 0.0% Particion	Good Faith Efforts to Con
Ordinary  N/A  X  (mark with x)  Notes  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount  \$908  Violation Final Penalty Total  \$6,563		Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test  Estimated EB Amount \$908 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,563			
Violation Subtotal \$6,250  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$908 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,563		N/A (mark with x)	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Estimated EB Amount \$908 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,563		Notecil	
Estimated EB Amount \$908 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,563	\$6,250	Violation Subtotal	
		this violation Statutory Limit Test	Economic Benefit (EB) fo
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$6,563	\$6,563	ted EB Amount \$908 Violation Final Penalty Total	Estima
	\$6,563	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	

	Е	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	TRI-COUNTY I	POINT PROPERTY	OWNERS ASSO	CIATIO	N .	-337337 100 1031 110 3 300 110 40 50 51 10 10 10 10	
Case ID No.	50564						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN103015053	3					
	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Ficiation No.	1					5.0	15
					<b>.</b>		
	Item Cost	•	Final Date	YFS	Interest Saved	Unetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	i .					
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$10,000	1-Jan-2015	25-Oct-2016	1.82	\$908	n/a	\$908
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs		astewater from the	Facility, Date r the estima	equire ted da	d is the date the po te of compliance.	tion and obtain authermit expired, and to	he final date is
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

Revision 4 (April 2014		Screening Date
evision March 26, 201		Case ID No.
		teg. Ent. Reference No.
		Media [Statute]
		Enf. Coordinator Violation Number
		Rule Cite(s)
	Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1)	Rule Cite(s)
	Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to any water in the state, as documented during an investigation conducted February 27,	
	2015. Specifically, two discharges of wastewater occurred from the Facility's	
	wastewater collection system: 1) approximately 30 gallons of wastewater discharged	Violation Description
	into the backyard of a residence located at 112 Catfish Drive when a broken	Violation Description
	pumping unit switch caused the pumping unit to fail; and 2) an unknown amount of	
	wastewater discharged from a ruptured sewer line into a drainage ditch on Porpoise Drive.	*
	Dive	
\$25,00	Base Penalty	
Ψ25,00	base renarcy [	
	rty and Human Health Matrix	Environmental, Proper
	Harm	
		Release OR Actual
		OR Actual Potential
	SIGHE DELIVER OF SERVICE SERVI	rotential
		Programmatic Matrix
	Major Moderate Minor	Falsification
	Percent 0.0%	
	Ith or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants as a result of the violation.	
	Tesuit of the violation.	Notes
		en dittaggia
	Adjustment \$23,750	
¢1.25	Adjustment \$23,750	
\$1,25	Adjustment \$23,750	
\$1,25	Adjustment \$23,750	
\$1,25	Adjustment \$23,750	plation Events
\$1,25	Adjustment \$23,750  Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days	plation Events
\$1,25	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days	lation Events
\$1,25	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days	plation Events
\$1,25	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly	plation Events
	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly	olation Events  Number of N
\$1,25 \$2,50	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty	plation Events
	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual	plation Events  Number of V
	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual	plation Events  Number of V
	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual	plation Events  Number of V
	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event	Plation Events  Number of Mark only one with an x
	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event   y events are recommended, one for each discharge, calculated from the investigation	Plation Events  Number of Mark only one with an x
	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event	Plation Events  Number of Mark only one with an x
	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event   y events are recommended, one for each discharge, calculated from the investigation	Plation Events  Number of Mark only one with an x
	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily	Number of Number
\$2,500	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily	Plation Events  Number of Mark only one with an x
\$2,500	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily	Number of Number
\$2,500	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily	Number of Number
\$2,500	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly	Number of Number
\$2,500	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly	Number of Number
\$2,500	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly	Number of Number
\$2,500	Violation Events    Violation Events   2	Number of Number
\$2,50d	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event   y events are recommended, one for each discharge, calculated from the investigation date, February 27, 2015, to the date of compliance, March 13, 2015.  pply 25.0% Reduction Extraordinary x N/A (mark with x)  Notes The Respondent achieved compliance by March 13, 2015.	Number of Number
\$2,500	Violation Events    Violation Events   2	Number of Number
\$2,50d	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days    daily	Number of Number
\$2,50d	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days    daily	number of value of va
\$2,500 \$62! \$1,875	Violation Events 2 14 Number of violation days    daily	number of value of va

	RN103015053 Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	2					F.0	
	*******	Data Danishad	Floribate		*	5.0 Onetime Costs	15 EB Amount
Item Description		Date Required	rinai Date	TIS	Interest Saveu	Oneume Costs	EB AMOUNT
A.C. SA							
Delayed Costs Equipment	\$1,100	27-Feb-2015	10-Mar-2015	0.03	f \$0	\$2	\$2
Buildings	21,100	1 27-rep-2013	10-1401-2012	0.03	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Land				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	\$500	27-Feb-2015	13-Mar-2015	0.04	\$1	n/a	\$1
Permit Costs	J J J U U	1 47 100 2013	10-1101-2010	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
		.8		0.00	90	112.0	
Other (as needed)	Estimated e	(uipment costs to	replace and ad	0.00	\$0 e float switch to th	n/a e sewer pump (\$60	so 0), and repair
			per linear feet >	just the	e float switch to th	e sewer pump (\$60 uired is the investiga	0), and repair
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	the ruptured Estimated	sewer line (\$100 remediation/disprequired is the in	per linear feet ) the final date osal cost to cea vestigation date	just the is the se the and t	e float switch to th ar feet). Date requ date of compliance discharge and rem the final date is the	e sewer pump (\$60 uired is the investiga e. ediate the affected e date of compliance	0), and repair ation date, and areas. Date a.
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	the ruptured Estimated	sewer line (\$100 remediation/disprequired is the in	per linear feet ) the final date osal cost to cea vestigation date	just the is the se the , and t	e float switch to th ar feet). Date requ date of compliance discharge and rem the final date is the <b>ng item (except</b>	e sewer pump (\$60 uired is the investiga e. ediate the affected e date of compliance for one-time avoid	0), and repair ation date, and areas. Date a. ded costs)
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	the ruptured Estimated	sewer line (\$100 remediation/disprequired is the in	per linear feet ) the final date osal cost to cea vestigation date	just the 5 line is the se the , and tericolor	e float switch to th ar feet). Date requ date of compliance discharge and rem the final date is the ng item (except \$0	e sewer pump (\$60 uired is the investiga e. ediate the affected e date of compliance for one-time avoid	0), and repair ation date, and areas. Date a. ded costs)
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	the ruptured Estimated	sewer line (\$100 remediation/disprequired is the in	per linear feet ) the final date osal cost to cea vestigation date	iust the se the and tentering of the order o	e float switch to th ar feet). Date requ date of compliance discharge and rem the final date is the <b>ng item (except</b>	e sewer pump (\$60 uired is the investiga e. ediate the affected e date of compliance for one-time avoid	0), and repair ation date, and areas. Date a. ded costs)
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	the ruptured Estimated	sewer line (\$100 remediation/disprequired is the in	per linear feet ) the final date osal cost to cea vestigation date	just the se the , and to one of the one of t	e float switch to the ar feet). Date requested date of compliance discharge and remarke final date is the final date is the second seco	e sewer pump (\$60 pired is the investigate. ediate the affected adate of compliance for one-time avoid	0), and repair ation date, and areas. Date e. ded costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	the ruptured Estimated	sewer line (\$100 remediation/disprequired is the in	per linear feet ) the final date osal cost to cea vestigation date	iust the se the c, and t of the of th	e float switch to the ar feet). Date requested and compliance discharge and remarke final date is the final date is the final feet for the final feet final date is the final date in the final date is the final date in the final	e sewer pump (\$60 uired is the investigate.  ediate the affected a date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	0), and repair ation date, and areas. Date a. ded costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	the ruptured Estimated	sewer line (\$100 remediation/disprequired is the in	per linear feet ) the final date osal cost to cea vestigation date	just the se the , and to one of the one of t	e float switch to the ar feet). Date requested date of compliance discharge and remaine final date is the square source s	e sewer pump (\$60 uired is the investiga e. ediate the affected e date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	0), and repair ation date, and areas. Date s. ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	the ruptured Estimated	sewer line (\$100 remediation/disprequired is the in	per linear feet ) the final date osal cost to cea vestigation date	iust the se the , and the other in the other	e float switch to the ar feet). Date requested date of compliance discharge and remarke final date is the square so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e sewer pump (\$60 uired is the investiga e. ediate the affected e date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	0), and repair ation date, and areas. Date s. ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility. To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



# TCEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600622823, RN103015053, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

or Owner/Operator:

Customer, Respondent, CN600622823, TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 0.80

Regulated Entity:

RN103015053, BOCA CHICA SEC 3 PLT

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 0.80

**Complexity Points:** 

4

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

08 - Sewage Treatment Facilities

Location:

APPROXIMATELY 12,000 FEET SOUTHWEST OF THE POINT WHERE STATE HIGHWAY 35 CROSSES FIVE MILE

BRANCH IN JACKSON COUNTY, TEXAS

**TCEQ Region:** 

**REGION 14 - CORPUS CHRISTI** 

ID Number(s):

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2009 to August 31, 2014

Rating Year: 2014

Rating Date: 09/01/2014

**Date Compliance History Report Prepared:** June 09, 2015

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: June 09, 2010 to June 09, 2015

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Jason Fraley

Phone: (512) 239-2552

#### Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator N/A

# Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

**B.** Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

#### D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

The approve	ar dates or investigation	
Item 1	June 17, 2010	(847500)
Item 2	July 20, 2010	(861916)
Item 3	August 16, 2010	(868451)
Item 4	September 10, 2010	(875351)
Item 5	October 18, 2010	(882912)
Item 6	November 15, 2010	(978891)
Item 7	December 17, 2010	(897691)
Item 8	January 18, 2011	(903582)
Item 9	February 11, 2011	(910476)
Item 10	March 21, 2011	(917715)
Item 11	March 31, 2011	(929105)
Item 12	May 16, 2011	(939424)
Item 13	June 03, 2011	(946835)
Item 14	July 25, 2011	(954090)
Item 15	February 07, 2012	(992054)
Item 16	April 05, 2012	(1004914)
Item 17	May 10, 2012	(1017835)
Item 18	July 05, 2012	(1032950)
Item 19	July 30, 2012	(1032952)
Item 20	November 15, 2012	(1068099)
Item 21	February 04, 2013	(1082024)
Item 22	February 05, 2013	(1082023)
Item 23	April 22, 2013	(1097136)
Item 24	May 17, 2013	(1108160)
Item 25	June 18, 2013	(1111741)
Item 26	June 28, 2013	(1108158)
Item 27	August 28, 2013	(1126432)
Item 28	October 17, 2013	(1136735)
Item 29	November 25, 2013	(1142148)
Item 30	December 16, 2013	(1148590)
Item 31	January 17, 2014	(1154662)
Item 32	February 13, 2014	(1161984)
Item 33	March 20, 2014	(1168598)
Item 34	April 17, 2014	(1175769)
Item 35	May 20, 2014	(1181987)
Item 36	June 18, 2014	(1188884)
Item 37	August 13, 2014	(1200698)
Item 38	September 12, 2014	(1207223)
Item 39	October 17, 2014	(1213630)
Item 40	November 17, 2014	(1219883)
Item 41	December 18, 2014	(1225686)
Item 42	January 20, 2015	(1232687)
Item 43	February 20, 2015	(1243682)
Item 44	March 18, 2015	(1250055)

#### E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

Date: 0

06/30/2014 (1194459)

CN600622823

Self Report? YES

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

### F. Environmental audits:

N/A

#### G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}/\mathsf{A}}$ 

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/I

**Sites Outside of Texas:** 

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY	§	TEAAS COMMISSION ON
OWNERS ASSOCIATION	§	P
RN103015053	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2015-0761-MWD-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION (the "Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located approximately 12,000 feet southwest of the point where State Highway 35 crosses Five Mile Branch in Jackson County, Texas, with an associated wastewater collection system (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under Tex. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 3, 2015.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand Five Hundred Sixty-Two Dollars (\$8,562) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Hundred Dollars (\$200) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Seven Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$1,712) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Six Thousand Six Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$6,650) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$190) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
  - a. On February 28, 2015, repaired a ruptured sewer line located on Porpoise Drive;
  - b. By February 28, 2015, ceased the discharge and remediated the affected area resulting from an unauthorized discharge on Porpoise Drive;
  - c. On March 5, 2015, replaced the float switch to the sewer pump located at 112 Catfish Drive;
  - d. On March 10, 2015, adjusted the float switch to the sewer pump located at 112 Catfish Drive; and
  - e. By March 13, 2015, ceased the discharge and remediated the affected area resulting from an unauthorized discharge at 112 Catfish Drive.

- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to maintain authorization for the discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to any water in the state, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 305.42(a), 305.65 and 305.125(2), and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 27, 2015. Specifically, the Respondent did not renew Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0012880001, which expired January 1, 2015, and continued to discharge wastewater from the Facility without authorization.
- 2. Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to any water in the state, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted February 27, 2015. Specifically, two discharges of wastewater occurred from the Facility's wastewater collection system: 1) approximately 30 gallons of wastewater discharged into the backyard of a residence located at 112 Catfish Drive when a broken pumping unit switch caused the pumping unit to fail; and 2) an unknown amount of wastewater discharged from a ruptured sewer line into a drainage ditch on Porpoise Drive.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to

"TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION, Docket No. 2015-0761-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, and until such time that authorization to operate is obtained, or until 300 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, whichever is earlier, comply with the permitted limitations and conditions of expired TPDES Permit No. WQ0012880001.
  - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit a permit application, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE ch. 305 (relating to Consolidated Permits) to:

Water Quality Division Applications Review and Processing Team, MC148 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- c. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the permit application within 30 days after the date of such requests or by any other deadline specified in writing.
- d. Within 300 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.e. that either authorization to operate has been obtained or that operation has ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained.
- e. The certifications required by Ordering Provision No. 2.d. shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Corpus Christi Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 6300 Ocean Drive, Suite 1200 Corpus Christi, Texas 78412-5503

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature

# TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION DOCKET NO. 2015-0761-MWD-E Page 6

affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

8. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties..

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Pom Mouis For the Executive Director	5/26/16 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the do agree to the terms and conditions specified the accepting payment for the penalty amount, is many	he entity indicated below my signature, and I erein. I further acknowledge that the TCEO, in
and	may result in:  as submitted; cal's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, or to a collection agency; nent actions; l's Office of any future enforcement actions;
TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by In addition, any falsification of any compliance do Signature	ocuments may result in criminal prosecution. $\frac{2/5/06}{\text{Date}}$
STAWEY J KAZWELL, JA.  Name (Printed or typed)  Authorized Representative of	PRESIDENT Title

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

TRI-COUNTY POINT PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION